TREW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ppl. No.

10/614,292

Confirmation No.: 7384

Applicant

Steven HARTMAN

Filed

July 8, 2003

TC/A.U.

1732

Examiner

Mark EASHOO

Docket No.

14391

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Sir:

This responds to the Office Action mailed October 3, 2005 in the above-noted application.

The Examiner has requested a restriction of the application to one of the following groups of claims:

Group I. Claims 1 to 8

Group II. Claims 9 to 10

Group III. Claims 11 to 16

The Examiner notes that "inventions of group I and II are related as process of making a product... In this case the product is claimed to be made by another materially different process such as one that rotates the extrudate rather that the coating device". The applicant respectfully disagrees.

Claims in group II as characterized depend on claim 2. Thus, the claims are directed to a product as made by a claimed process. The process is defined by claims in group I. It is therefore not possible to make the claimed products of group II

Appl. No. 10/614,292 Response dated October 3, 2005

without using the process of group I. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that groups I and II should be considered together.

The applicant therefore elects group I and group II for further consideration. In the event the Examiner does not accept the applicant's argument, applicant provisionally elects claims in group I.

In view of the foregoing, consideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted

Ralph A. Dowell Registration No. 26,868

DOWELL & DOWELL P.C. Suite 406, 2111 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Tel: (703) 415-2555 Fax: (703) 415-2559

November 3, 2005 MZ/kew File no. 92667-1